IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION

CRIMINAL CASE NO. 1:05cr273

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
vs.)))	ORDER
DAVID HOWARD HUGHES.)))	

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Defendant's *pro se* Application for Certificate of Appealability [Doc. 47] and Application to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit [Doc. 48].

On April 20, 2007, the Defendant was sentenced to 188 months imprisonment for his conviction of felony possession of a firearm. [Doc. 23]. His conviction and sentence were affirmed on direct appeal. <u>United States v. Hughes</u>, 255 Fed.Appx. 740 (4th Cir. 2007). In December 2008, the Defendant moved pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2255 to vacate, correct or set aside his conviction and sentence. <u>Hughes v. United States</u>, Civil Case No. 1:08cv539. That motion was dismissed and the Defendant did not seek to appeal the dismissal. Hughes v. United States, 2009 WL 116977 (W.D.N.C.

2009).

On October 19, 2009, the Defendant moved pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§1651 for a petition for a writ of audita querela in this case. [Doc. 43]. That

motion was denied on April 30, 2010. [Doc. 44]. The Defendant now seeks

leave to appeal the denial of that motion. The time within which to do so,

however, has long since expired. Fed.R.App.P. 4(b)(1)(A)(i). Although the

Defendant now claims his petition for a writ of audita querela was a form of

habeas petition, in that motion he specifically stated that it was not. [Doc. 43,

at 1]. Nor would the Court issue a certificate of appealability in any event

since the Defendant has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a

constitutional right and he failed to state the specific issues which make such

a showing. 28 U.S.C. §2253(c)(2) & (c)(3).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Defendant's pro se Application

for Certificate of Appealability [Doc. 47] is hereby **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant's pro se Application to

Proceed without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit [Doc. 48] is hereby

DENIED as moot.

Signed: July 12, 2010

Martin Reidinger

United States District Judge